

1 **Supplementary Materials:**

2

3 **Supplementary Table 1. Transgene expression on PBL**

4

5

<b>Mutant founder</b>	<b>Number of expressers/total number of founders</b>	<b>Percent of expresser founders</b>
<b>WT</b>	<b>7/7</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Inr</b>	<b>16/18</b>	<b>94</b>
<b>Sp1BS</b>	<b>11/14</b>	<b>82</b>
<b>TATAA-like</b>	<b>9/9</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>CAAT</b>	<b>28/32</b>	<b>78</b>

6

7 **Supplementary Table 1: Characterization of PD1 core promoter mutant founders:**

8 Several founders were established for each core promoter mutation. Tail DNA was tested for  
 9 presence of transgene. PBLs from DNA positive founder mice were screened for PD1 transgene  
 10 expression using FACS with relevant antibody. The table summarizes number of founders that  
 11 were expressing PD1 in the PBL and the percent of expressers in each mutant.

12 **Supplementary Table 2. Copy number and chromosomal location of insert in each strain**

<b>Mutant founder</b>	<b>strain</b>	<b>Copy number</b>	<b>Chromosomal location</b>
<b>WT</b>	<b>PD1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>ND</b>
	<b>PD1L</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>13C2</b>
<b>Inr</b>	<b>T1069D9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>ND</b>
	<b>T1069H10</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2B</b>
<b>Sp1BS</b>	<b>T598A5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>13A1</b>
	<b>T598H4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>ND</b>
	<b>T598J5</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>3A2</b>
<b>TATAA-like</b>	<b>T580H5</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>17B1</b>
	<b>T580G1</b>	<b>&gt;20</b>	<b>11B</b>
<b>CAAT</b>	<b>T1068F4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>ND</b>
	<b>T1068T9</b>	<b>&gt;20</b>	<b>ND</b>
	<b>T1068E4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>ND</b>

13 **ND, not determined**

14

15 **Supplementary Table 2: List of the lines that were used in this study and localization of**  
16 **transgenes in the mouse genome:** For each core promoter mutant 2 or 3 strains with differing  
17 copy number and genomic integration sites were examined. The copy number was measured  
18 using Southern blot or qPCR. The integration sites were determined by FISH as described in  
19 Supplementary Material and Methods. Each line was derived from an independent founder. The  
20 designation reflects the experiment number of the injection from which the line was derived (e.g.  
21 T1068) and the founder (e.g. F4). The PD1L transgenic mouse has been described previously  
22 (41).

23 **Supplementary Table 3. P-value of the differences between IFN $\gamma$ -treated and mock treated**  
 24 **in each tissue of each transgenic line**

		P-value
WT PD1	spleen	$\leq 0.01$
	kidney	$\leq 0.01$
	brain	$\leq 0.01$
WT H2K	spleen	$\leq 0.01$
	kidney	$\leq 0.01$
	brain	$\leq 0.01$
INR PD1	spleen	$\leq 0.01$
	kidney	N.S.
	brain	N.S.
INR H2K	spleen	$\leq 0.01$
	kidney	0.03
	brain	N.S.
Sp1BS PD1	spleen	$\leq 0.01$
	kidney	N.S.
	brain	$\leq 0.01$
Sp1BS H2K	spleen	$\leq 0.01$
	kidney	$\leq 0.01$
	brain	$\leq 0.01$
CAAT PD1	spleen	$\leq 0.01$
	kidney	0.03
	brain	0.05
CAAT H2K	spleen	$\leq 0.01$
	kidney	$\leq 0.01$
	brain	$\leq 0.01$
TATA PD1	spleen	$\leq 0.01$
	kidney	$\leq 0.01$
	brain	$\leq 0.01$
TATA H2K	spleen	$\leq 0.01$
	kidney	$\leq 0.01$
	brain	$\leq 0.01$

25

26 **Supplementary Table 3: P-values of the differences between IFN treated and mock treated**  
 27 **mice:** Each experiment was repeated 3 times with the levels of PD1 mRNA measured by qPCR.  
 28 The differences were calculated using student T-test, and the p-values are shown in the table.  
 29 N.S. – not significant.

30

31

32 **Supplementary Table 4. Antibodies used in this study**

Antibody	Source
Anti SLA	PT85A WSU mAb Service Center, Washington State University Pullman WA
Anti SLA	74-11-10 WSU mAb Service Center, Washington State University Pullman WA
Anti mPOL-II	Sc-899 rabbit polyclonal Santa Cruz Biotechnology
Anti Trimethyl-Histone H3 (Lys4)	07-473 rabbit polyclonal, Millipore
Anti Trimethyl-Histone H3 (Lys9)	17-625 rabbit polyclonal, Millipore
Anti TBP	17-10098 rabbit polyclonal, Millipore
Anti Sp1	Sc14027 rabbit polyclonal, Santa Cruz Biotechnology

33

34

35

36 **Supplementary Table 5. Primers that were used for this study:**

H2-Kb	forward	reverse
Promoter	ggcgacactgattggttctt	ggatcagaactcggagacga
Promoter -600	tgcagaagtgaaactgtggag	ccggaagaggcttttctct
Promoter -1000	aaacaccaggagagaccg	gtctctgtgggtccctgt
Exon 1	ggatgcagtggagagagagg	acatggaagtgcagacaca

37

38

39

40

## Supplementary material and methods

### 41 **Construction of the Promoter mutated mice;**

42 **PD1 INR and PD1 CAAT mutants:** A fragment of the WT DNA construct containing the  
43 region 1-1420 was sub-cloned into the corresponding Hind III/Sac I sites in a pUC19 vector  
44 previously mutated at the Sfo I/Nar I (235) and Sap I (683) sites. PCR fragments using primers  
45 carrying the mutated sequences were made, isolated, annealed and filled by Klenow extension.  
46 These double stranded fragments were then digested at Sfo I/Sac I [INR] or Sap I/Sfo I [CAAT]  
47 sites and sub-cloned back into the pUC19 construct. The mutated 1-1420 fragments were  
48 reinserted back into the same sites in the WT construct to yield the final mutated constructs  
49 which were microinjected to generate transgenic mice.

50 **PD1 Sp1BS and PD1 TATAA-like mutants:** Duplex oligo-nucleotides containing the mutation  
51 were synthesized and inserted into the pUC19 construct noted above digested at Sfo I (-51) and  
52 Bln I (-4) sites. The mutated 1-1420 fragments were reinserted back into the same sites in the  
53 WT construct to yield the final mutated constructs which were injected.

54 All founders were screened by Southern blotting. Each subsequent generation was followed by  
55 PCR of genomic tail DNA. Wild type C57BL/6 or C57BL/10 mice were used as controls. Mice  
56 were euthanized using CO<sub>2</sub> and whole body perfusion was carried out using phosphate buffered  
57 saline (PBS) so that tissues were free of contamination from circulating lymphocytes which  
58 express high levels of MHC class I. Spleens, kidneys and brains were harvested.

59 **Flow cytometry:** Flow cytometry was carried out as described elsewhere[1]. For PD1 surface  
60 expression, cells were stained with unlabeled primary Ab PT85, (WSU mAb Service Center,

61 Washington State University Pullman WA) followed by goat anti-mouse secondary Ab  
62 conjugated to FITC (SouthernBiotech, Birmingham, AL). For PD1 cell surface expression in the  
63 HeLa cell transfections, cells were stained with unlabeled primary Ab 74-11-10, (WSU mAb  
64 Service Center, Washington State University Pullman WA) followed by goat anti-mouse  
65 secondary Ab conjugated to FITC (SouthernBiotech, Birmingham, AL).. FACS results were  
66 analyzed using FlowJo software (Tree Star Inc.).

67  
68 **In situ hybridization on metaphase chromosomes of transgenic mice:** Splens were harvested  
69 from male mice carrying the transgene as verified by PCR on tail DNA. 2D FISH was performed  
70 as described [2]. To determine chromosomal integration direct-labeled FISH probes were  
71 designed using either a BAC clone spanning the H-2K gene or from the PD1 plasmid previously  
72 described in this section. The H-2K BAC clone, RP23-228K15, was identified using the  
73 BACPAC Resource Library of the Children's Hospital Oakland Research Institute. A stab culture  
74 of the BAC clone was received and was plated and propagated immediately upon arrival.  
75 Glycerol stocks were prepared. Isolation and purification of DNA was performed using the  
76 QIAGEN Plasmid Maxi Kit with only minor modifications to manufacturer's instructions. The  
77 sequence for the BAC was obtained from the National Center for Biotechnology Information  
78 (NCBI) Web site. Primers were designed for the BAC clone and the sequence was amplified by  
79 PCR to verify the identity of the clone.

80 Standard Nick translation was performed to produce the fluorescence-labeled DNA probes for  
81 FISH. Gene-specific probes were labeled with Spectrum Orange-dUTP (PD1 gene) or Dy505-  
82 dUTP (H-2K gene), precipitated, and applied to metaphase cells harvested from WT and  
83 promoter mutant splens. Chromosomal integrations were determined from the analysis of

84 signals from 20 metaphase cells from each of the promoter mutant mice strains. Following 2D  
85 FISH, the slides were analyzed using Leica Q-FISH image analysis software wherein metaphase  
86 cells were manually selected and centered for the camera. Two types of images were taken:  
87 pictures of the DAPI counterstained chromosomes in their metaphase state and fluorescent  
88 images of the gene-specific probes. The two images were then superimposed to generate a  
89 combined image. These images were then karyotyped using the SKYViewer software and  
90 chromosomal integration sites were determined for each of the core promoter mutant transgenic  
91 mice.

92

**References**

93

94

95 1. Ehrlich R, Sharrow SO, Maguire JE and Singer DS (1989) Expression of a class I MHC  
96 transgene: effects of in vivo alpha/beta-interferon treatment. *Immunogenetics* 30:18-26.

97 2. Crifasi PA, Michels VV, Driscoll DJ, Jalal SM and Dewald GW (1995) DNA fluorescent  
98 probes for diagnosis of velocardiofacial and related syndromes. *Mayo Clin Proc* 70:1148-53. doi:  
99 10.1016/S0025-6196(11)63440-X

100

101

102

103

### Supplementary Figures

104 **Supplementary Figure 1: Expression of the PD1 gene is not abrogated by mutations in the**  
105 **core promoter.** A) Diagrammatic representation of the PD1 core promoter upstream of PD1  
106 coding sequences. B) Sequences of the wild type (WT) core promoter and core promoter element  
107 mutations used in the study. C) HeLa cells were transiently transfected with each of the  
108 individual PD1 gene constructs shown in B. NC-negative control: cells were transfected with  
109 empty vector. The levels of cell surface expression were measured by flow cytometry after  
110 staining with a PD1 specific monoclonal antibody (left panel). The median fluorescence of PD1  
111 cell surface expression on the transfected HeLa cells is summarized in the table (right panel).  
112 (The apparent discrepancy between the FACS analysis and qPCR (e.g. TATAA-like mutant)  
113 most likely results from limiting amounts of the  $\beta_2$ microglobulin co-factor necessary for cell  
114 surface expression.)

115

116 **Supplementary Figure 2: Detailed characterization of PD1 RNA expression levels in mice**  
117 **tissues.** A) qPCR results of tissue expression levels in 3 CAAT mutant strains, compared to WT.  
118 B) qPCR results of tissue expression levels of 2 TATAA-like mutant strains, compared WT. C)  
119 qPCR results of tissue expression levels in 2 Sp1BS mutant strains, compared to WT. D) qPCR  
120 results of tissue expression levels in 2 INR mutant strains, compared to WT. Results are an  
121 average of 3 independent mice from each line. Copy number for each strain is provided in  
122 parenthesis and in Supplementary Table 2. Note that in each tissue, the data are expressed  
123 relative to the wild type level in that tissue.

124

125 **Supplementary Figure 3: In situ hybridization of PD1 transgene and endogenous MHC**  
126 **class I gene H2-K<sup>b</sup> in metaphase spreads of spleen cells from the different transgenic**  
127 **strains.** Spleen cells from transgenic mice were plated and hybridized as described in  
128 supplementary material and methods. A) Hybridization of PD1 probe (red) and of H-2K<sup>b</sup> probe  
129 (green) to WT transgenic cells. Arrows indicate the insertion sites; the chromosome number is  
130 indicated. Right panel shows the chromosomes identified with the transgene in SKY staining,

131 showing the exact chromosomal location of the insert. **B)** As in **A**, for Sp1BS [T598A5] mutated  
132 strain. **C)** As in **A** for TATAA-like [T580H5] mutated strain. **D)** As in **A** for TATAA-like  
133 [T580G1] mutated strain. Each hybridization panel shown is representative of 30 fields  
134 examined for each strain.

135

136

137 **Supplementary Figure 4: TBP binding to chromatin of TATAA-like and WT transgenes.**

138 **A)** ChIP analysis of TBP binding across the PD1 transgene of WT and TATAA-like [T580H5,  
139 T580G1] core promoter mutant mice. The ChIP was performed on chromatin extracted from  
140 spleen. X axis denotes location relative to the TSS and is not to scale. **B)** ChIP analysis of TBP  
141 binding across endogenous mouse MHC-I gene H2K<sup>b</sup>. ChIP experiments were performed as  
142 described in Methods. The experiments were performed on pools of spleens extracted from 3  
143 mice. Every experiment was repeated 3 times in 2 different strains [T580H5, T580G1].

144

145 **Supplementary Figure 5. Sp1BS core promoter element mutation modulates the IFN $\gamma$**

146 **response. A.** Sp1BS (T598H4) mice were treated with IFN $\gamma$ , as described in Methods. RNA  
147 was extracted from spleen, kidney and brain and subjected to RT PCR. Inset, Splenic RNA from  
148 WT mice treated with IFN $\gamma$  in parallel and subjected to RT PCR, as a control for the IFN $\gamma$   
149 injection. Real time PCR was relative to an 18S standard. **B.** Primer extension analysis of splenic  
150 RNA from Sp1BS (T598H4) mice treated with IFN $\gamma$ .

151

152 **Supplementary Figure 6: Response to IFN $\gamma$  treatment of the endogenous MHC class I gene**

153 **H2K<sup>b</sup> in the non-expresser SP1BS [T598J5] mice.** Mice were treated with IFN $\gamma$  as described in  
154 Methods. The level of endogenous H2K<sup>b</sup> RNA was measured by qPCR. Results are expressed as  
155 relative expression.

156

157 **Supplementary Figure 7: Model of core promoter element functions.** Although none of the  
158 core promoter elements is essential for promoter activity, each contributes in a distinct way.  
159 Tissue specific patterns of expression are regulated by the combined activities of the CAAT,  
160 TATAA-like and Inr elements. Extracellular signaling responses, as exemplified by IFN $\gamma$ , are  
161 regulated by Sp1BS and Inr elements. All four elements contribute to the overall transcription  
162 level of the gene.

163

164