



Goals of a Phase 0 Trial

Generate data to:

- Inform subsequent development of the agent
- Enhance efficiency of subsequent development of the agent
- Increase chance of success of subsequent development of the agent

Why are Phase 0 Oncology Trials Needed?

- There's a need to improve the efficiency and success rate of clinical trials
 - Most drugs in clinical development do not make it to registration
 - Failure rate is higher for oncology drugs than other indications
 - Most drugs that fail, fail in late stages of clinical development
- Late failure (due to bad drug or target) means wasted resources, including patients







How can Phase 0 trials improve efficiency and success of subsequent trials?

- Eliminate an agent very early in clinical development because of poor PD (pharmacodynamic) or PK (pharmacokinetic) properties
 - · E.g., lack of target effect, poor bioavailability, rapid clearance
- Inform subsequent trials by:
 - Validating a PD assay for assessing target modulation
 Developing a reliable SOP for tissue acquisition, handling, and processing
 - Determining dose and time course that yields a required target effect
 - Intensively evaluating PK, providing a closer approximation to a safe, but potentially effective starting dose and support for limited sampling in subsequent trials

Phase 0 Trial Outcomes...

- Determine whether a mechanism of action defined in non-clinical models can be observed in humans (e.g., binds to or inhibits its alleged target)
- Provide human PK/PD data for an agent prior to definitive Phase 1-2 testing
- Refine biomarker assay using human tumor tissue and/or surrogate tissue

... Phase 0 Trial Outcomes

- Evaluate human PD and/or PK (e.g., bioavailability) of two or more analogs directed at the same target and possessing practically the same properties *in vitro* and in animal models, helping to select the most promising candidate for further development
- Evaluate in humans an agent's biodistribution, binding characteristics and target effects using "micro-dosing" and a variety of novel imaging technologies

Prioritizing Candidate Agents for Phase 0 Study

- PD endpoint is critical to development (need credentialed PD marker or drug target)
- Pre-clinical data show a wide therapeutic window
- PD modulation expected at low doses and short durations of exposure (e.g. ≤7 days)
- Drug target effect likely to be determined with a relatively small sample size (≈10-15 patients)
- Need for bioavailability or PD data to select best candidate among two or more analogues

What does a Phase 0 trial involve...

- Pre-clinical: Studies in animal models prior to initiating Phase 0 trial to:
 - Validate PD biomarker assay
 - Simulate human tissue acquisition, handling and processing
 - Demonstrate drug effect on target or biomarker
 - Determine PK-PD relationships
 Evaluate drug biodistribution and binding using
- imaging technologies

 Validate PD assay methodology using human
- tumor samples or surrogate tissue (e.g., PBMCs) prior to Phase 0 trial

...What does a Phase 0 trial involve

- Pre-Clinical Clinical
- Interrogating and validating target or biomarker assay in human tumor biopsies and/or surrogate tissue
 - Reproducibility across technicians, labs Variables/conditions standards, temperature, storage, dilution effects (linearity?)
- Coefficient of variability (needs to be tight)
- Developing an SOP for human tissue acquisition, handling and
- processing

- Demonstrating drug target or biomarker effect Determining PK-PD relationships Drug biodistribution and binding using novel imaging technologies
- Innovative statistical designs
 - Limited sample size PD and PK as primary endpoints, rather than MTD

Phase 0 Statistical Issues

- · Limit sample size to 6-15 patients, generally
- Define primary endpoint(s) prospectively
- If possible, obtain a measure of intra-patient variability for the pre-treatment endpoint values
- Define thresholds (binomial) for declaring treatment effect on biomarker (efficacy) for an individual patient, for a given dose, based on both biological and statistical criteria (5% false +)
- Target a reasonable efficacy % threshold, across patients, at a dose level, for detection with high power (90%)
- Maintain a reasonable false positive rate (10%) across dose levels

Phase 0 Program: Logistical Considerations...

- · Mechanism for selecting and prioritizing candidate agents
- · Dedicated non-clinical PD assay development laboratory including non-clinical animal models
- · Dedicated human tissue PD laboratory, capable of real-time analysis
- · PK lab capable of real-time analysis
- Clinical team with expertise in conduct of early phase trials

... Phase 0 Program: **Logistical Considerations**

- · Efficiently integrated collaborations between the clinical research team and:
 - PK and PD laboratory scientists
 - · Biospecimen procurement and processing staff
 - · Interventional radiologists
 - · Special imaging and nuclear medicine staff
 - Statisticians
 - · Regulatory bodies
 - · Drug sponsors
 - · Project Managers
- Willing patient participants

Phase 0 Program: **Ethical Considerations**

- · Potential barriers to enrollment
 - No therapeutic intent or chance of benefit
 - · Pre- and post-treatment tissue biopsies
 - Delay or exclusion from other trials or therapies
- · External concerns about ethics and availability of patients for study
- · Institutional Ethics committee review and input
- Informed Consent Process
 - Need to clearly explain the rationale for the study Need to define the limited treatment and follow up
 - period Need to clearly state that there is absolutely no anticipated clinical benefit to the participant

Some Measures of Success Phase 0

- · Promising candidate drugs are identified and prioritized early
- Less promising drugs are eliminated early in development
- Efficiency and success rate of Phase 1-2 trials are improved
- Less drugs fail in late stages of clinical development
- · Higher proportion of drugs in clinical development make it to registration and FDA approval
- Resources shifted to Phase 0 conserve resources in subsequent development, including highly valued patient participation

References...

- Kola, I. & Landis, J. (2004). OPINION: Can the pharmaceutical industry reduce attrition rates? *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery*, *3*, 711-716.
- Ma, P. & Zemmel, R. (2002). Value of novelty Nature Reviews Drug Discovery, 1 (8), 571-572.

Evaluation

Please complete the <u>evaluation form</u> and fax to Elizabeth Ness at 301-496-9020.



For questions, please contact Elizabeth Ness 301-451-2179 nesse@mail.nih.gov