



Retrospective performance review every 4 years

Evaluates accomplishments based on responsibilities

Purpose of Quad Review

- Ensure Staff Clinician is being utilized appropriately
- Determine quality of performance (e.g., for salary adjustment)

2013 Quadrennial Review Panel

Chair - William Dahut, M.D., NCI's Clinical Director

+ 7 Clinical PIs

Staff Clinician Quadrennial Review



Quad Review Package:

- 1. Supervisor's recommending memo
 - Should not simply be your Job Description
 - PI should describe your duties and accomplishments
 - PI should express enthusiasm or disappointment in performance
- 2. Two letters of recommendation
- 3. Standardized CV + Bibliography
- Most recent BSC review of entire Branch/Lab (not seen by Panel)

Staff Clinician Quadrennial Review

EVALUATION CRITERIA

- Patient care responsibilities and specialized clinical skills
- Time devoted to In-Patient vs. Out-Patient care (days, months, weeks)
- % effort in the following 5 categories:
 - Clinical Service
 - Clinical Research
 - Administrative Duties
 - Supervisory / Training / Mentoring activities
 - Other (including Bioinformatics);
- Provide an updated list of clinical protocols, and include the following:
 - Type of Protocol (treatment or natural history)
 - Accrual
 - Your role in the trial as a Principal, Lead, or Associate Investigator
 - Whether or not you are the protocol's medically responsible person
- Intramural activities: clinical and scientific collaborations, other (e.g. participation in faculties, working groups, advisory boards, committees)
- Describe consults with other branches/institutes, if any
- Scientific productivity (e.g., publications, abstracts)
- Describe top 3 publications.



Staff Clinician Quadrennial Review



EVALUATION CRITERIA (cont'd)

- Scientific presentations (e.g., extramural or intramural invited talks)
- Extramural activities (e.g., editorial boards, cooperative groups, scientific societies, meeting organization)
- Board Certification
- Awards and other accomplishments
- Description of Core Activities and a list of Collaborators and/or Users.
 (Evolving: Cores may be reviewed at Branch's Site Visit instead of Quad Review)





Rating/Score

Outstanding

Excellent

Good

Borderline

Unsatisfactory

You have the option of submitting a response that will be included in your package.