

#### Understanding Tumor Heterogeneity and Plasticity Through the Lens of Cancer Stem Cell Model and Mathematical Modeling

**Drug-tolerant persister (DTP) and cancer dynamics** 

Maxwell Lee

High-dimension Data Analysis Group Laboratory of Cancer Biology and Genetics Center for Cancer Research National Cancer Institute

June 21, 2021

#### **Understanding Biology with Mathematical Modeling**



## **Priming vs. Desensitization**



Figure 1 Averaged time traces

Mudla et al. Elife 2020, 9:e58825

## **USP18 is Required for Desensitization**

USP18: ubiquitin-specific peptidase 18



#### USP18-KD



Averaged time traces

Figure 2

Mudla et al. Elife 2020, 9:e58825



Figure 3

Mudla et al. Elife 2020, 9:e58825

## **ODE** for the Kinetic Model



$$\frac{d}{dt}IRF9 = I(t) \bullet (k_4 + pf) \bullet nf$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}USP18 = I(t) \bullet S_u \bullet (k_5 + pf) \bullet nf$$

$$pf = k_1 \bullet \frac{IRF9}{k_2 + IRF9} \qquad nf = \frac{k_3}{k_3 + USP18}$$

I(t) = 0 (without IFN)I(t) = 1 (with IFN)

 $S_{u} = \begin{cases} 0, \text{ when the IFN input time } < \tau \\ 1, \text{ when the IFN input time } \geq \tau \end{cases}$ 

Decay of IRF9 and USP18 is low, therefore not included in the model

## **CFP-USP18 Reporter**



#### Figure 4

#### **P**<sub>*IRF9*</sub>-YFP and **P**<sub>*USP18*</sub>-CFP in Response to IFN-α

Time trace of a single cell



#### Distributions of P<sub>IRF9</sub> and P<sub>USP18</sub> Activation Times in Single Cells

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 4C

#### **Distributions of Delay Times in Single Cells**

n = 2021 cells

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure 4D

#### **Time-lapse Images of Cells over Multiple Cell Divisions**

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure 4-S3A

#### **Distributions of Delay Times vs. Cell Cycle Progression**

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Stochastic DE with Cell Cycle Gating of USP18 Upregulation**

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 6A

## Drug-Tolerant Persister (DTP) Bacterial Persistence as a Phenotypic Switch: non-genetic and reversible

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

Balaban et al. Science 2004, 305:1622 WB Bigger, *Lancet* ii, 497 (1944)

# Drug-Tolerant Persister (DTP)Bacterial Persistence as a Phenotypic Switch:hipA7non-genetic and reversible

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 1Balaban et al. Science 2004, 305:1622

# **Bacterial Persistence as a Phenotypic Switch: non-genetic and reversible**

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

Persisters constitute 1~5% of cells

Figure 1 Balaban et al. Science 2004, 305:1622

## Bacterial Persistence as a Phenotypic Switch: non-genetic and reversible

hipA7

Figure S1

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

Balaban et al. Science 2004, 305:1622

#### Bacterial Persistence as a Phenotypic Switch: non-genetic and reversible

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $\mu_{p} \approx 0$  $a \approx 0$  $b \approx 0.07$ 

Figure 2 Balaban et al. Science 2004, 305:1622

#### **Drug-Tolerant Persister (DTP)** PC9 NSCLC cells 100 % Cell Survival 80 60 40 20 0 0.001 0.01 0 0.1 0.27% at $2 \ \mu M$ Gefitinib [µM] for 3 days EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs)

Figure 1A

Sherma et al. Cell 2010, 141:69

## **Drug-Tolerant Persister (DTP)**

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

ERL: erlotinib

DTP: treated with drug For 9 days

DTEP: drug-tolerant expanded persister treated with drug For 33 days 20% DTPs develop into DTEPs

## **CSC** Marker CD133 is Expressed in DTPs

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 2

## **CD133 Expression in DTEP Is Similar to PC9**

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

Figure S2B

## DTPs Revert back to Drug-Sensitive Phenotype after Re-expansion in Drug-free Medium

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 2E

## DTEPs Revert back to Drug-Sensitive Phenotype after 29 Passages in Drug-free Medium

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 2F

## DTEPs Revert back to Drug-Sensitive Phenotype after 31 Passages in Drug-free Medium G

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Drug Tolerance Requires Histone Demethylase KDM5A**

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Drug Tolerance Requires Histone Demethylase KDM5A**

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 3D

## **Colorectal Cancer Cells Enter a Diapause-like DTP State** to Survive Chemotherapy

5-FU/LV: 5-fluorouracil and leucovorin CPT-11: irinotecan FOLFIRI: 5-FU/LV and CPT-11

**CPT:** Camptothecin

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

Rehman et al. Cell 2021, 184:226

### Colorectal Cancer Cells Enter a Diapause-like DTP State to Survive Chemotherapy

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Colorectal Cancer Cells Enter a Diapause-like DTP State to Survive Chemotherapy**

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 1C

Rehman et al. Cell 2021, 184:226

## **Colorectal Cancer Cells Enter a Diapause-like DTP State to Survive Chemotherapy**

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 1F

Rehman et al. Cell 2021, 184:226

#### **Reinjection of CPT-11-treated Tumors into New Mice Remained Sensitive to CPT-11 Treatment**

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

Rehman et al. Cell 2021, 184:226

## Long-Term CPT-11 Treatment Gives Rise to Irreversibly Resistant Tumors

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

Rehman et al. Cell 2021, 184:226

Figure S2D

## Long-Term CPT-11 Treatment Gives Rise to Irreversibly Resistant Tumors

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

Rehman et al. Cell 2021, 184:226

Figure S2H

#### **Reinjection of CPT Resistant Tumors into New Mice Maintained Resistance to CPT**

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure S2I Rehman et al. Cell 2021, 184:226

#### **Barcode Experiment to Study Genetic Heterogeneity**

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

Rehman et al. Cell 2021, 184:226

Figure 2A

#### The Enriched Barcodes Were Unique Across All Tumors

#### No selection of a pre-existing cell subpopulation that gave rise to DTPs

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure 2B

#### Rehman et al. Cell 2021, 184:226

## Mean Cumulative Clone Size Distribution

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_0.jpeg)

Mean Cumulative Clone Size Distribution

## **Estimated Power law Slope for Individual Tumors**

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 3C

Rehman et al. Cell 2021, 184:226

#### **Power Law Distribution**

 $p\left(n
ight)\sim n^{-\left(1+lpha
ight)}$ 

## $Q\left(n ight)=\sum_{n'>n}p\left(n' ight)\sim n^{-lpha}$

Q(n): cumulative distribution

Rehman et al. Cell 2021, 184:226

#### **Log-linear Distribution from Selective Dynamics**

*n* is the size of clone *i* undergoing stochastic birth-death process

$$p_i\left(n
ight) = \lambda_i e^{-n\lambda_i} 
onumber \ p\left(\lambda
ight) = b^a e^{-b\lambda} \lambda^{a-1} / \Gamma\left(a
ight) 
onumber \ p\left(n
ight) = \int d\lambda \lambda e^{-n\lambda} p\left(\lambda
ight) = rac{a/b}{\left(n/b+1
ight)^{1+a}} 
onumber \ p\left(n
ight) \sim n^{-(1+a)}$$

Rehman et al. Cell 2021, 184:226

## **Sub-exponential Tumor Growth Kinetics**

 $\dot{N} \sim N^{1-lpha}$ 

![](_page_42_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure 3E

Rehman et al. Cell 2021, 184:226

#### **Understanding Biology with Mathematical Modeling**

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)