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## Understanding Biology with Mathematical Modeling



## GRN of Luminal and Basal States



## Differential Equation Model of Gene Regulatory Network (GRN)


$b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ are weights for mutual inhibition
$a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are weights for auto-activation
$k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are weights for degradation
$n$ is Hill Coefficient
$S$ is threshold of Hill function
Wang et al PNAS, 2011;108:8257-8262

## Flow Diagram of Toggle Switch GRN



| $a 1=a 2$ | 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $b 1=b 2$ | 1 |
| $k 1=k 2$ | 1 |
| $n$ | 4 |
| $S$ | 0.5 |

## Quasi-Potential of GRN



| $a 1=a 2$ | 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $b 1=b 2$ | 1 |
| $k 1=k 2$ | 1 |
| $n$ | 4 |
| $S$ | 0.5 |

Quasi-potential was calculated with R package QPot

## Toggle Switch GRN with Auto-Activation



| $a 1=a 2$ | 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $b 1=b 2$ | 1 |
| $k 1=k 2$ | 1 |
| $n$ | 4 |
| $S$ | 0.5 |

## Quasi-Potential of GRN



## Bifurcation Diagram



## Two Regions Separated by Eigen Vector



## Stochastic Differential Equation (DE) Model

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d x_{1}}{d t}=\frac{a_{1} x_{1}^{n}}{S^{n}+x_{1}^{n}}+\frac{b_{1} S^{n}}{S^{n}+x_{2}^{n}}-k_{1} x_{1} \\
& \frac{d x_{2}}{d t}=\frac{a_{2} x_{2}^{n}}{S^{n}+x_{2}^{n}}+\frac{b_{2} S^{n}}{S^{n}+x_{1}^{n}}-k_{2} x_{2} \\
& d \mathbf{X}=f(\mathbf{X}) d t \quad \text { Deterministic DE } \\
& d \mathbf{X}=f(\mathbf{X}) d t+\sigma d \mathbf{W} \quad \text { Stochastic DE } \\
& \text { Wiener process } \\
& d X=-U^{\prime}(X) d t+\sigma d W \quad U \text { is quasi-potential }
\end{aligned}
$$

Nolting et al Ecology 2016;97:850-864

## Stochastic Differential Equation (DE) Model

$$
d X=-U^{\prime}(X) d t+\sigma d W
$$

Fokker-Planck equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial p(x, t)}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(U^{\prime}(x) p(x, t)\right)+\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} p(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}} \\
p_{s}(x)=\frac{1}{Z} \exp \left(-\frac{2 U(x)}{\sigma^{2}}\right) \\
p_{s}(x) \text { is steady state probability } \\
\mathrm{Z} \text { is normalization factor }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Negative Feedback Motif of NF-кB Signaling Pathway
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Hoffmann et al. Science 2002, 298:1241

## Negative Feedback Motif of NF-кB Signaling Pathway



## C

human U937 monocytes

mouse fibroblasts


Figure 1

## Negative Feedback Motif of NF-кB Signaling Pathway




Figure 2 wild-type fibroblasts

## IкB $\alpha$ Is Required For Oscillation of NF-кB Signal

Figure 2





## IкB $\beta / \varepsilon$ Causes Damped Oscillation of NF-кB Signal

## D

Effect of $\mathrm{I}_{\kappa} \mathrm{B} \beta$ and $\mathrm{I}_{\kappa} \mathrm{B} \varepsilon$




Reduced by 5-fold

Baseline in WT

Increased by 7-fold

Figure 2

## NF-кB response to TNF $\alpha$ of various durations




Figure 3

## NF-кB Signal in WT Shows Bimodal Response

 The Bimodal Response Requires Iк $\mathrm{B} \alpha$

Figure 4

## RANTES Activation Requires Persistent TNF $\alpha$ Stimulation



## RANTES Activation Requires Persistent TNF $\alpha$ Stimulation



## Negative Feedback Motif of NF-кB Signaling Pathway


$3 \mathrm{I} \kappa \mathrm{B} \alpha / \beta / \varepsilon$ interact with NF-кB $3 \mathrm{I} \kappa \mathrm{B} \alpha / \beta / \varepsilon$ interact with IKK
Figure 1
NF-кB translocation

# Negative Feedback Motif of NF-кB Signaling Pathway 

x: NF-кB<br>y : $\mathrm{I} \kappa \mathrm{B} \alpha$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\dot{x}=S-\alpha x-\beta y, \\
\dot{y}=\gamma x-\delta y, \\
\mathrm{~J}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
-\alpha & -\beta \\
\gamma & -\delta
\end{array}\right|
\end{gathered}
$$

## Classification of 2D ODE Linear Systems

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{x}=S-\alpha x-\beta y, \\
& \dot{y}=\gamma x-\delta y, \\
& J=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
-\alpha & -\beta \\
\gamma & -\delta
\end{array}\right| \\
& \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}}=-(\alpha+\delta) \\
& \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{et}}=\alpha \delta+\beta \gamma \\
& \lambda_{1,2}=\frac{1}{2}\left\{t_{r} \pm \sqrt{t_{r}^{2}-4 d_{e t}}\right\} \\
& \begin{aligned}
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{r}} & =\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} \\
\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{et}} & =\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Classification of 2D ODE Linear Systems



## Negative Feedback Motif of NF-кB Signaling Pathway


oscillation: center
damped oscillation: stable spiral
over-damped oscillation: stable spiral

## Negative Feedback Motif of NF-кB Signaling Pathway

D




Figure 2

Reduced by 5-fold slightly damped oscillation

Baseline in WT damped oscillation

Increased by 7-fold over-damped oscillation

## Negative Feedback Motif of NF-кB Signaling Pathway

 slightly damped oscillation

Iк $\mathrm{B} \alpha$ controls oscillation no oscillation

Iк $\mathrm{B} \alpha$ controls oscillation no oscillation

Figure 2
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