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## Road Map for Dimension Reduction Methods



The Dot Product of Two Vectors is the Difference Between the Squared Distances (Law of Cosines)


$$
a^{2}=b^{2}+c^{2}-2 b c \cos (\alpha)
$$

$$
b c \cos (\alpha)=-1 / 2\left(a^{2}-b^{2}-c^{2}\right)
$$

$$
\mathrm{b} \cdot \mathrm{c}=-1 / 2\left(\mathrm{a}^{2}-\mathrm{b}^{2}-\mathrm{c}^{2}\right)
$$

Warren Torgerson in 1958

## Eigen Decomposition of Gram Matrix (Similarity Matrix)

$$
\mathrm{G}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{g}_{11} & \mathrm{~g}_{12} & \ldots & \mathrm{~g}_{1 \mathrm{n}} \\
\mathrm{~g}_{21} & \mathrm{~g}_{22} & \ldots & \mathrm{~g}_{2 \mathrm{n}} \\
\cdot & & & \cdot \\
\cdot & & & \cdot \\
\mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{n} 1} & \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{n} 2} & \ldots & \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{nn}}
\end{array}\right] \quad \begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{ij}} \text { is dot product between element } \mathrm{i} \text { and } \mathrm{j} \\
& \text { which captures similarity or relatedness }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{G}=\mathrm{U} \Lambda \mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{T}} \\
& \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{U} \Lambda^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

G: Gram matrix or kernel matrix
U: Eigen vector
$\Lambda$ : Eigen value
Z: principal component

## Nonlinear Dimension Reduction of Swiss Roll Dataset

Swiss roll manifold in 3D $\xrightarrow{\text { unfolding }} 2 \mathrm{D}$ sheet
$\leadsto$ Euclidean distance $\longmapsto$ Geodesic distance


3-dimension


2-dimension

## Algorithm of Isomap



$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ through k is the shortest path.

$$
\begin{gathered}
E=\left\|\tau\left(D_{G}\right)-\tau\left(D_{Y}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
\tau(\mathrm{D})=-1 / 2(\mathrm{HDH}) \\
\\
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{I}-1 / \mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{ee}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

E: cost function
$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{G}}$ : distance matrix in high dim
$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ : distance matrix in low dim
$\tau$ : transform D to Gram matrix
H : centering matrix
I: identity matrix
e: vector of 1

# TSNE Versus PCA of the Same MNIST Dataset sample size $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{6 0 0 0}$ 

PCA


# TSNE vs. PCA of a Single Cell RNAseq Data 

cell number n $\sim \mathbf{6 0 0 0}$
Clusters were identified before TSNE and PCA analysis
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Cells in cluster are more spread out.
Variance of PC is driven by outliers.

## TSNE vs. PCA of TCGA Breast Cancer Data

## sample size $\mathbf{n = 9 7 7}$

TSNE


PCA
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## Isomap vs. TSNE

Isomap


## Isomap vs. TSNE

## Isomap



D: distance matrix
G: Gram matrix
$\mathrm{D} \longrightarrow \mathrm{G}$

## TSNE



## Euclidean Distance vs Mahalanobis Distance



Euclidean distance: $\mathrm{P}_{1}<\mathrm{P}_{3}<\mathrm{P}_{2}$
Probability: $\mathrm{p}_{1}=\mathrm{p}_{2}>\mathrm{p}_{3}$
Mahalanobis distance is a statistical distance related to probability
Prasanta Chandra Mahalanobis in 1936

## Multivariate Gaussian Distribution


$\Sigma$ : covariance matrix
$\Sigma^{-1}$ : inverse of $\Sigma$
$\Lambda$ : Diagonal matrix with Eigen values
W: Eigen vectors
Z: Principal Components
$Z_{\mathrm{s}}$ : Standardized Z
z: a sample from $Z_{s}$
T: Transposition
$\mu$ : mean vector

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Z} & =\mathrm{XW} \\
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{s}} & =\mathrm{XW} \Lambda^{-1 / 2} \\
\mathrm{Z} & =\Lambda^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{~W}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{X} \\
\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Z} & =\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~W} \Lambda^{-1 / 2} \Lambda^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{~W}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{X} \\
\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Z} & =\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{T}} \Sigma^{-1} \mathrm{X}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Multivariate Gaussian Distribution



## T-distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding (TSNE)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{G}=\mathrm{U} \Lambda \mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{T}} \\
& \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{U} \Lambda^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
C=K L(P \| Q)=\sum_{i} \sum_{j} p_{i j} \log \frac{p_{i j}}{q_{i j}} .
$$

## T-distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding (TSNE)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { High dimension } \\
& p_{j \mid i}=\frac{\exp \left(-\left\|x_{i}-x_{j}\right\|^{2} / 2 \sigma_{i}^{2}\right)}{\sum_{k \neq i} \exp \left(-\left\|x_{i}-x_{k}\right\|^{2} / 2 \sigma_{i}^{2}\right)} \\
& p_{i j}=\frac{p_{j \mid i}+p_{i \mid j}}{2 n} \\
& \text { Gaussian.kernel } \\
& \text { Low dimension } \\
& q_{i j}=\frac{\left(1+\left\|y_{i}-y_{j}\right\|^{2}\right)^{-1}}{\sum_{k \neq l}\left(1+\left\|y_{k}-y_{l}\right\|^{2}\right)^{-1}} . \\
& 0.4-2 \\
& C=K L(P \| Q)=\sum_{i} \sum_{i} p_{i j} \log \frac{p_{i j}}{q_{i j}} . \\
& \frac{\delta C}{\delta y_{i}}=4 \sum_{j}\left(p_{i j}-q_{i j}\right)\left(y_{i}-y_{j}\right)\left(1+\left\|y_{i}-y_{j}\right\|^{2}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

# TSNE vs. PCA of a Single Cell RNAseq Data 

cell number n $\sim \mathbf{6 0 0 0}$
Clusters were identified before TSNE and PCA analysis
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Cells in cluster are more spread out.
Variance of PC is driven by outliers.

## Road Map for Dimension Reduction Methods



## Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP)

TSNE is pretty good.
Why do we need UMAP?

|  | TSNE | UMAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| speed | moderate | fast |
| Structure preserved | local and global | local and global |
| Number of components | 2 | 2 or more |

Leland McInnes, John Healy, and James Melville arXiv 2018

## TSNE Versus UMAP of the MNIST Dataset <br> sample size $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{6 0 0 0}$

TSNE


UMAP


## TSNE Versus UMAP of the Same MNIST Dataset sample size $\mathbf{n}=400$
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UMAP
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## TSNE Versus UMAP of the Same MNIST Dataset sample size $\mathbf{n}=400$ and digits of 0,1 , and 2 <br> TSNE <br> UMAP



digit

## TSNE vs. UMAP of a Same Single Cell RNAseq Data cell number $\mathbf{n} \mathbf{\sim} \mathbf{6 0 0 0}$

Clusters were identified before TSNE and UMAP analysis
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## TSNE vs. UMAP of TCGA Breast Cancer Data

sample size $\mathbf{n}=977$
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## Comparison of PCA, TSNE, and UMAP

|  | Data type | Sample size | complexity | Performance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MNIST | image | 6000 | High | UMAP $>$ TSNE $>$ PCA |
| ScRNAseq | ScRNAseq | $\sim 6000$ | High? | UMAP $\sim$ TSNE $>$ PCA |
| TCGA | Bulk RNAseq | $\sim 1000$ | moderate | UMAP $\sim$ TSNE $\sim$ PCA |

## TSNE vs. UMAP



## TSNE vs. UMAP


$p(x ; \mu, \Sigma)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}|\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^{T} \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w\left(\left(x_{i}, x_{i_{j}}\right)\right)=\exp \left(\frac{-\max \left(0, d\left(x_{i}, x_{i_{j}}\right)-\rho_{i}\right)}{\sigma_{i}}\right) \\
& B=A+A^{\top}-A \circ A^{\top} \\
& \sum_{j=1}^{k} \exp \left(\frac{-\max \left(0, d\left(x_{i}, x_{i_{j}}\right)-\rho_{i}\right)}{\sigma_{i}}\right)=\log _{2}(k)
\end{aligned}
$$


$\rho_{i}$ : shortest distance of $x_{i}$ neighbors

## Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Weight.function } \\
w\left(\left(x_{i}, x_{i_{j}}\right)\right)=\exp \left(\frac{-\max \left(0, d\left(x_{i}, x_{i_{j}}\right)-\rho_{i}\right)}{\sigma_{i}}\right) \quad \text { Low-dimension } \\
B=A+A^{\top}-A \circ A^{\top} \\
\text { Cross.entropy }
\end{gathered}
$$

TSNE cost function
UMAP cost function

$$
C=K L(P \| Q)=\sum_{i} \sum_{j} p_{i j} \log \frac{p_{i j}}{q_{i j}} . \quad C((A, \mu),(A, \nu))=\sum_{a \in A} \mu(a) \log \left(\frac{\mu(a)}{\nu(a)}\right)+(1-\mu(a)) \log \left(\frac{1-\mu(a)}{1-\nu(a)}\right)
$$

## Road Map for Dimension Reduction Methods



