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## Outline for Dimension Reduction Methods



## The Presence of Correlation Between Variables Is the Reason Why We Can Reduce Dimension by PCA

$$
\begin{gathered}
\binom{X_{1}}{X_{2}} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\binom{0}{0},\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \rho \\
\rho & 1
\end{array}\right)\right) \\
\mathrm{r}=\rho
\end{gathered}
$$

|  | $\mu_{1}$ | $\mu_{2}$ | $\sigma_{1}$ | $\sigma_{2}$ | $\rho$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| d1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| d2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.6 |
| d3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.9 |
| d4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.99 |

$$
\mathrm{n}=400
$$



## Principal Component Analysis (PCA)



Karl Pearson 1901; Harold Hotelling 1933-1936

## Geometric View of PCA: Rotation of Coordinates

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \binom{X_{1}}{X_{2}} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\binom{0}{0},\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \rho \\
\rho & 1
\end{array}\right)\right) \\
& \rho=0.9 \\
& \mathrm{n}=8 \\
& \text { type } \\
& \text { - data } \\
& \text { - PC1.projection }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Correlation Between Variables Can Result from Heterogeneity in Sample



## PCA Analysis of TCGA Breast Cancer RNAseq Data

TCGA BRCA samples: $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{9 7 7}$, top $5 \mathbf{k}$ most variable genes


## Variance of Principal Components Are Ranked from the Highest to the Lowest



# Filtering Out Genes of Low Variance Increases Percent of Variance Accounted for by PC1 

TCGA BRCA samples: $n=977$, top $5 k$ most variable genes


TCGA BRCA samples: $n=977$, all 20k genes

subtype

- Basal
- Her2
- LumA
- LumB
- Normal


## Correlation Between Principal Components and Phenotypes of Breast Cancer Data



## Variation in Histological Type Is Associated with PC2

TCGA BRCA samples: $\mathbf{n = 9 7 7}$, histological_type

histological_type

- ductal
- Iobular
- other


# Removing Heterogeneity in Histological Type Reduces PC2 Variance and Increases PC1 Variance 

TCGA BRCA samples: $\mathrm{n}=977$, top 5 k most variable genes


TCGA BRCA samples: $\mathbf{n = 6 8 8}$, infiltrating ductal carcinoma


## Algorithm of PCA: <br> How Does PCA Find the Direction of PC1?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{z}=\mathrm{Xw} \\
& \operatorname{var}(z)=(X w)^{T} X w \\
& \operatorname{var}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Xw}=\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Sw}
\end{aligned}
$$

Choose w to maximize ${ }^{\text {T }}$ Sw subject to $W^{T} W=1$

## The Direction of PC1 Is the Eigen Vector with the Highest Eigen Value



$$
\mathrm{Sw}=\lambda \mathrm{w}
$$

w is the eigen vector and $\lambda$ is eigen value

## Variance of PCs Are Eigen Value and Are Additive

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{var}(\mathrm{z}) & =\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Sw} \\
& =\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \lambda \mathrm{w} \\
& =\lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

There are p pairs of eigen vectors and eigen values

$$
\operatorname{var}(Z)=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} \ldots+\lambda_{p}
$$



## Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)

$$
\begin{gathered}
Z=X W \\
Z_{s}=X W \Lambda^{-1 / 2} \\
Z_{s} \Lambda^{1 / 2} W^{T}=X \\
X=Z_{\mathrm{s}} \Lambda^{1 / 2} W^{T} \\
X=U \Sigma \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{T}} \text { from standard SVD notation }
\end{gathered}
$$

Eugenio Beltrami and Camille Jordan, 1873-1874

## Right and Left Singular Vectors of SVD

p column vectors
n row vectors

$$
\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{U} \Sigma \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{X}_{11} & \mathrm{X}_{12} & \ldots & \mathrm{X}_{1 \mathrm{p}} \\
\mathrm{X}_{21} & \mathrm{X}_{22} & \ldots & \mathrm{X}_{2 \mathrm{p}} \\
\cdot & & & \cdot \\
\cdot & & & \cdot \\
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n} 1} & \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n} 2} & \ldots & \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{np}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{V} \Sigma \mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{U} \Sigma \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{T}} \\
&=\mathrm{V} \Sigma^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{T}} \\
& \\
& \mathrm{XX}^{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{U} \Sigma \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~V} \Sigma \mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{T}} \\
&=\mathrm{U} \Sigma^{2} \mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{T}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Interconversion Between Principal Components and Standardized Principal Components

$$
Z_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{XWD}^{-1 / 2}
$$



## Three Dimensional Object and Its Two dimensional Image: Ellipse As Shadow of Sphere



## Europe Map on a Globe and on Google Map



## Eight European Cities Pairwise Distance Matrix

|  | Athens | Berlin | Dublin | London | Madrid | Paris | Rome | Warsaw |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Athens | 0 | 1119 | 1777 | 1486 | 1475 | 1303 | 646 | 1013 |
| Berlin | 1119 | 0 | 817 | 577 | 1159 | 545 | 736 | 327 |
| Dublin | 1777 | 817 | 0 | 291 | 906 | 489 | 1182 | 1135 |
| London | 1486 | 577 | 291 | 0 | 783 | 213 | 897 | 904 |
| Madrid | 1475 | 1159 | 906 | 783 | 0 | 652 | 856 | 1483 |
| Paris | 1303 | 545 | 489 | 213 | 652 | 0 | 694 | 859 |
| Rome | 646 | 736 | 1182 | 897 | 856 | 694 | 0 | 839 |
| Warsaw | 1013 | 327 | 1135 | 904 | 1483 | 859 | 839 | 0 |

## Law of Cosines:

## Dot Product of Two Vectors Can Be Expressed By the Difference Between the Squared Distances

Law of cosine

$$
a^{2}=b^{2}+c^{2}-2 b c \cos (\alpha)
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 b c \cos (\alpha)=b^{2}+c^{2}-a^{2} \\
& b c \cos (\alpha)=-1 / 2\left(a^{2}-b^{2}+c^{2}\right) \\
& b \cdot c=b c \cos (\alpha) \\
& b \cdot c=-1 / 2\left(a^{2}-b^{2}-c^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Warren Torgerson, 1958

Eigen Decomposition Can Generate Projection Map From Kernel Matrix Derived From the Pairwise Distance Between Two Cities

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{b} \cdot \mathrm{c}=-1 / 2\left(\mathrm{a}^{2}-\mathrm{b}^{2}-\mathrm{c}^{2}\right) \\
{\left[\begin{array}{lllr}
\mathrm{k}_{11} & \mathrm{k}_{12} & \ldots & \mathrm{k}_{1 \mathrm{n}} \\
\mathrm{k}_{21} & \mathrm{k}_{22} & \ldots & \mathrm{k}_{2 \mathrm{n}} \\
\cdot & & & \cdot \\
\cdot \\
\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n} 1} & \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n} 2} & \ldots & \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{nn}}
\end{array}\right]} \\
\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{U} \Lambda \mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{T}} \\
\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{U} \Lambda^{1 / 2}
\end{gathered}
$$

## MDS and PCA Are Equivalent

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Z} & =\mathrm{U} \Lambda^{1 / 2} \quad \text { from } \mathrm{MDS} \\
\mathrm{X} & =\mathrm{U} \Sigma \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{T}} \\
\mathrm{XV} & =\mathrm{U} \Sigma \\
\mathrm{Z} & =\mathrm{U} \Lambda^{1 / 2} \\
\mathrm{~K} & =\mathrm{U} \Lambda \mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{T}}
\end{aligned}
$$

K was derived from the pairwise distance matrix without X

## Two Dimensional Map Generated with MDS



## Comparison Between Google Map and MDS Projection



## Variance of MDS Components



## Outline for Dimension Reduction Methods



