

 $R_{esponse} E_{valuation} I_n N_{eurofibromatosis} S_{chwannomatosis} \\ INTERNATIONAL COLLABORATION$

- If sharing any data or information from these slides generated by the REiNS International Collaboration, please acknowledge the authors, group chairs, and specific working group.
- If using any information presented with a citation, please reference the primary source.

Visual Outcomes in Decentralized Trials

Robert A. Avery, DO, MSCE

Richard Shafritz Endowed Chair in Pediatric Ophthalmology Research Children's Hospital of Philadelphia And Associate Professor of Ophthalmology and Neurology Perelman School of Medicine University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia, PA December 3, 2023

Response Evaluation In Neurofibromatosis Schwannomatosis INTERNATIONAL COLLABORATION

Current REINS Recommendations

Primary Outcome Visual Acuity (VA) using Teller Acuity Cards

Rationale

- Primary deficit caused by disease (OPGs)
- Continuous outcome measure ideal for trials
- Validated and used in other conditions/trials
- Used across all ages/abilities*



Teller Acuity Card (TAC) - Preferential Looking









Current REINS Recommendations

- **Secondary Outcomes**
 - •HOTV letter acuity
 - •CVFQ QOL scale

<u>Rationale</u>

- More age appropriate for older kids
- Vision loss impacts QOL



Standardized





Variable







In Other Words

 Site A's change of 2 lines on the visual acuity chart (i.e., 20/20 to 20/30) ≠ Site B's change of 2 lines

•TAC Scores ≠ ATS-HOTV scores



Other REiNS Recommendations

Optic Nerve Pallor

- -binary outcome
 -subjective
- -Natural Hx*



Adapted from Frohman et al., Archives of Neurol 2008











Other Trial Needs for OPGs

- **Toxicity exams**
 - Inclusion/Exclusion criteria
 - Basic dilated eye exam



Remote Visit Implementation

Test	Challenges	Advantages	Recommend?
TAC	-Expertise required -Cost	-REiNS and FDA rec'd -ACNS1831 success -NatHx Study success	No
ATS-HOTV	-Cost	Easy to useUniversally accepted(FDA)	Yes
Optic disc pallor	-Subjective assessment	-TBD	No



Remote Visit Implementation

Test	Challenges	Advantages	Recommend?
Visual Field	-Access/cost -Device variability	-common protocols	No
OCT	-Access/cost	-established biomarker-objective assessment	Yes
QOL measures			Maybe
Toxicity or Inc/exclusion	-Optometry involvement	 Low rates of toxicity Improved access 	Yes



Barriers to Ophthalmology Engagement

- •Extra time to complete non-SOC** tests
- •Cost of equipment (TAC, ATS-HOTV, OCT)
- Confidence/skill to adequately access
- •Benefit to them**



The Future





The Future

- Determine if NF1-OPG Natural History data will provide insight on which clinical and MRI features may be helpful in decentralized trials.
- "There is always something new"

-Michael J. Fisher, MD



Acknowledgements



