

ACMG STATEMENT

Genetics in Medicine An Official Journal of the ACMG

www.journals.elsevier.com/genetics-in-medicine

ACMG SF v3.2 list for reporting of secondary findings in clinical exome and genome sequencing: A policy statement of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG)



David T. Miller¹, Kristy Lee², Noura S. Abul-Husn^{3,4}, Laura M. Amendola⁵, Kyle Brothers⁶, Wendy K. Chung⁷, Michael H. Gollob⁸, Adam S. Gordon⁹, Steven M. Harrison¹⁰, Ray E. Hershberger¹¹, Teri E. Klein¹², C. Sue Richards¹³, Douglas R. Stewart¹⁴, Christa Lese Martin¹⁵; on behalf of the ACMG Secondary Findings Working Group^{16,*}

Disclaimer: This statement is designed primarily as an educational resource for medical geneticists and other clinicians to help them provide quality medical services. Adherence to this statement is completely voluntary and does not necessarily assure a successful medical outcome. This statement should not be considered inclusive of all proper procedures and tests or exclusive of other procedures and tests that are reasonably directed to obtaining the same results. In determining the propriety of any specific procedure or test, clinicians should apply their own professional judgment to the specific clinical circumstances presented by the individual patient or specimen.

Clinicians are encouraged to document the reasons for the use of a particular procedure or test, whether or not it is in conformance with this statement. Clinicians also are advised to take notice of the date this statement was adopted, and to consider other medical and scientific information that becomes available after that date. It also would be prudent to consider whether intellectual property interests may restrict the performance of certain tests and other procedures. Where individual authors are listed, the views expressed may not reflect those of authors' employers or affiliated institutions. Requests for permissions must be directed to the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics, as rights holder.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 10 April 2023 Accepted 12 April 2023 Available online 22 June 2023

Keywords: Exome sequencing Genome sequencing Incidental findings Secondary findings

Introduction

The American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) previously published guidance for reporting secondary findings (SFs) in the context of clinical exome and genome sequencing.¹⁻⁵ The ACMG Secondary Findings Working Group (SFWG) and Board of Directors (BODs) have agreed that the list of recommended genes should now be updated annually, but with an ongoing goal of maintaining this as a minimum list. Reporting of SFs should be considered neither a replacement for indication-based diagnostic clinical genetic testing nor a form of population screening.

Per nomenclature guidance put forth by the ACMG SFWG and approved by the BODs,² versioning of the SF list was designed to differentiate major vs minor revisions. Major

The Board of Directors of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics approved this statement on February 27, 2023. *Correspondence: ACMG. *Email address:* documents@acmg.net

Affiliations are at the end of the document.

doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gim.2023.100866 1098-3600/© 2023 American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. revisions include conceptual changes to the categories or genes/variants in the SF list or the removal/addition of a large number of genes in a single update; these changes are denoted by updating the version number to the next integer (eg, v4.0, v5.0). Minor revisions reflect the addition or removal of 1 or a few genes or variants without any policy change, and they are denoted by an incremental change to the number after the decimal point (eg, v3.1, v3.2).

The current SFWG includes clinical geneticists, molecular and/or cytogenetics clinical laboratory directors, genetic counselors, cardiologists, a bioinformatician, and a bioethicist. The SFWG has met at least monthly via web conferencing to review nomination forms and vote on the inclusion or exclusion of gene-phenotype pairs for the ACMG SF v3.2 list. Details on the nomination and review process have been published.³

Internal nominations from SFWG committee members and external nominations were considered for the SF v3.2 list. Internal nominations from committee members included the *CALM1*, *CALM2*, and *CALM3* genes as gene-phenotype pairs with long QT syndrome (LQTS) and catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia. An external nomination was reviewed for the *ATP7A* gene that is associated with Menkes disease. No nominations were requested by other professional organizations. The final proposed ACMG SF v3.2 list from the SFWG was sent to the ACMG BODs for review and approval in October 2022. Member comments were received in January 2023, and the working group submitted a revision to the Board in February 2023.

Recommendations for the ACMG SF v3.2 List

The overall responsibility of the SFWG is to provide recommendations for a minimum list of gene-phenotype pairs for opportunistic screening to facilitate the identification and/ or management of risks for selected genetic disorders through established interventions aimed at preventing or significantly reducing morbidity and mortality.² The complete ACMG SF v3.2 list is presented in Table 1 (and is also presented as a spreadsheet in Supplemental Table 1). As shown in Table 2, 3 new genes, *CALM1*, *CALM2*, and *CALM3*, were added to the v3.2 list, with a brief description of the factors considered in adding each of these genes. Only 1 gene, *ATP7A*, was considered for inclusion, but it was ultimately excluded from the v3.2 list (Table 3); *ATP7A* could be reviewed again in the future if new data emerge that are related to either Menkes disease or other phenotypes associated with this gene.

Considerations for Specific Phenotypic Categories

Genes related to cancer phenotypes

Recommended for addition to, or removal from, the SF v3.2 list: None

Genes related to cardiovascular phenotypes

Recommended for addition to the SF v3.2 list: CALM1, CALM2, and CALM3

Cardiovascular genes have been represented on the SF list since its inception because of the morbidity and mortality of heart failure and sudden cardiac death, which can both be treated or prevented with well-established interventions.^{9,10}

For version 3.2, 3 additional genes (*CALM1*, *CALM2*, and *CALM3*) were reviewed. These genes cause predisposition to LQTS, and the available evidence supports a similar or greater risk of morbidity and mortality compared with other sudden cardiac death genes that are already included in the previous versions of the SF list. The 3 calmodulin genes (*CALM1*, *CALM2*, and *CALM3*) are located on different chromosomes, but they encode identical 149 amino acid proteins. All 3 were previously classified by ClinGen as having definitive evidence for LQTS with atypical features such as presentation in infancy or early childhood and with functional heart block and severe QT prolongation.¹¹

A member comment suggested updating the nomenclature that is used for reportable variants in the *TTN* gene, as outlined in the Table 1 footnote. Because the exact disease mechanisms are still being elucidated, it was suggested to refer to *TTN* truncating variants as *TTN*tv, instead of loss-offunction variants. This update has been included as part of the ACMG SF v3.2 list. A member comment also requested additional guidance regarding which truncating variants in the Titin gene (*TTN*tv) should be reported as SFs. Specifically, a suggestion was made to "add specific details to include consideration of the cardiac isoforms/transcripts, highly expressed exons, and established regions with enrichment for *TTN*tv and dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM)."

We currently recommend that only frameshift and nonsense variants, and variants known to affect the splicing of *TTN* exons with high proportion spliced-in, be evaluated for pathogenicity and returned as SFs if classified as pathogenic and likely pathogenic.⁶⁻⁸ This update has been included as part of the ACMG SF v3.2 list and provided as a footnote in Table 1. We anticipate that additional guidance may be provided from experts in the field over time and defer to further guidance that may be published in the future (Note "variants known to impact splicing" refers to variants affecting the invariable +/- 1, 2 positions and other coding or noncoding variants with demonstrated impact.).

Genes related to inborn errors of metabolism phenotypes

Nominated for addition to the SF list: ATP7A

The working group carefully considered the nomination of *ATP7A* as a gene-disease pair for Menkes disease. Menkes disease is infantile onset, has a high morbidity rate, the causative gene (*ATP7A*) can be assessed by standard exome sequencing, and there is a potential treatment. To further evaluate this gene-phenotype pair, we consulted an

| Table 1 | ACMG SF v3.2 gene and associated phenotypes recommended for return as secondary findings from clinical exome and genome | ē | |
|------------|---|---|--|
| sequencing | | | |

| | ACMG SF List | MIM | | | Variants to |
|--|--------------|------------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| henotype | Version | Disorder | Gene | Inheritance | Report ^a |
| enes related to cancer phenotypes | | | | | |
| amilial adenomatous polyposis | 1.0 | 175100 | АРС | AD | All P and LP |
| milial medullary thyroid cancer/multiple | 1.0 | 155240 | RET | AD | All P and LP |
| endocrine neoplasia 2 | | 171400 | | | |
| | | 162300 | | | |
| ereditary breast and/or ovarian cancer | 1.0 | 604370 | BRCA1 | AD | All P and LP |
| <i>,</i> | 1.0 | 612555 | BRCA2 | | |
| | 3.0 | 114480 | PALB2 | | |
| ereditary paraganglioma-pheochromocytoma | 1.0 | 168000 | SDHD | AD | All P and LP |
| syndrome | 1.0 | 601650 | SDHAF2 | | |
| 5 | 1.0 | 605373 | SDHC | | |
| | 1.0 | 115310 | SDHB | | |
| | 3.0 | 171300 | MAX | | |
| | 3.0 | 171300 | TMEM127 | | |
| ıvenile polyposis syndrome | 2.0 | 174900 | BMPR1A | AD | All P and LP |
| ivenile polyposis syndrome/hereditary | 2.0 | 175050 | SMAD4 | AD | All P and LP |
| hemorrhagic telangiectasia syndrome | 2.0 | _, 5050 | 511107 | | unu Li |
| -Fraumeni syndrome | 1.0 | 151623 | TP53 | AD | All P and LP |
| ynch syndrome (hereditary nonpolyposis | 1.0 | 609310 | MLH1 | AD | All P and LP |
| colorectal cancer) | 2.0 | 120435 | MSH2 | | |
| | | 614350 | MSH6 | | |
| | | 614337 | PMS2 | | |
| ultiple endocrine neoplasia type 1 | 1.0 | 131100 | MEN1 | AD | All P and LP |
| UTYH-associated polyposis | 1.0 | 608456 | MUTYH | AR | P and LP (2 variants) |
| F2-related schwannomatosis | 1.0 | 101000 | NF2 | AD | All P and LP |
| eutz-Jeghers syndrome | 1.0 | 175200 | STK11 | AD | All P and LP |
| TEN hamartoma tumor syndrome | 1.0 | 158350 | PTEN | AD | All P and LP |
| etinoblastoma | 1.0 | | RB1 | AD | All P and LP |
| | | 180200 191100 | TSC1 | AD | All P and LP |
| ıberous sclerosis complex | 1.0 | | | AD | All P and LP |
| n Uinnal Lindau aunduama | 1.0 | 613254 | TSC2 | 4.D | |
| on Hippel-Lindau syndrome | 1.0 | 193300 | VHL | AD | All P and LP |
| 71-related Wilms tumor | 1.0 | 194070 | WT1 | AD | All P and LP |
| enes related to cardiovascular phenotypes | | 45 (300 | 50.14 | 4.5 | |
| ortopathies | 1.0 | 154700 | FBN1 | AD | All P and LP |
| | 1.0 | 609192 | TGFBR1 | | |
| | 1.0 | 610168 | TGFBR2 | | |
| | 1.0 | 613795 | SMAD3 | | |
| | 1.0 | 611788 | ACTA2 | | |
| | 1.0 | 132900 | MYH11 | | |
| rrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy | 1.0 | 609040 | PKP2 | AD | All P and LP |
| (a subcategory of arrhythmogenic | 1.0 | 607450 | DSP ^b | | |
| cardiomyopathy) | 1.0 | 610476 | DSC2 | | |
| | 1.0 | 604400 | TMEM43 | | |
| | 1.0 | 610193 | DSG2 | | |
| atecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular | 1.0 | 604772 | RYR2 | AD | All P and LP |
| tachycardia | 3.0 | 611938 | CASQ2 | AR | P and LP (2 variants) |
| | 3.0 | 615441 | TRDN ^C | AR | |
| CM | 1.0 | 601494 | TNNT2 ^d | AD | All P and LP (See text) |
| | 1.0 | 115200 | LMNA ^e | | |
| | 3.0 | 617047 | <i>FLNC</i> ^d | | |
| | 3.0 | 604145 | TTN ^f | | |
| | 3.1 | 613881 | BAG3 | | |
| | 3.1 | 604765 | DES | | |
| | 3.1 | 613172 | RBM20 | | |
| | 3.1 | 611879 | TNNC1 | | |
| hlers-Danlos syndrome, vascular type | 1.0 | 130050 | COL3A1 | AD | All P and LP |

Table 1 Continued

| Phenotype | ACMG SF List Version | MIM Disorder | Gene | Inheritance | Variants to Report ^a |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|--|
| Familial hypercholesterolemia | 1.0 | 143890 | LDLR | SD | All P and LP |
| | 1.0 | 144010 | APOB | AD | |
| | 1.0 | 603776 | PCSK9 | AD | |
| HCMa | 1.0 | 192600 | MYH7 ^b | AD | All P and LP |
| | 1.0 | 115197 | МҮВРСЗ | 110 | |
| | 1.0 | 613690 | TNNI3 | | |
| | 1.0 | 115196 | TPM1 | | |
| | 1.0 | 608751 | MYL3 | | |
| | 1.0 | 612098 | ACTC1 | | |
| | 1.0 | 600858 | PRKAG2 | | |
| | 1.0 | 608758 | MYL2 | | |
| LQTS types 1 and 2 | 1.0 | 192500 | KCNQ1 | AD | All P and LP |
| | 1.0 | 613688 | KCNH2 | | |
| LQTS3; Brugada syndrome | 1.0 | 603830, 601144 | SCN5A ^b | AD | All P and LP |
| LQTS types 14-16 | 3.2 | 616247 | CALM1 ^g | AD | All P and LP |
| EQ13 types 14-10 | 5.2 | 616249 | CALM1 CALM2 ^g | AD | |
| | | 618782 | CALM2 ^g | AD | |
| Genes related to inborn errors of metabolism ph | enotypes | | | | |
| Biotinidase deficiency | 3.0 | 253260 | BTD | AR | P and LP (2 variants) |
| Fabry disease | 1.0 | 301500 | <i>GLA</i> ^h | XL | All hemi, het, homozygous P and LP |
| Ornithine transcarbamylase deficiency | 2.0 | 311250 | ОТС | XL | All hemi, het, homozygous P and LP |
| Pompe disease | 3.0 | 232300 | GAA | AR | P and LP (2 variants) |
| Genes related to miscellaneous phenotypes | | | | | · · · · · · |
| Hereditary hemochromatosis | 3.0 | 235200 | HFE | AR | <i>HFE</i> p.C282Y ⁱ homozygotes only |
| Hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia | 3.0 | 600376 | ACVRL1 | AD | All P and LP |
| 5 5 5 | 3.0 | 187300 | ENG | | |
| Malignant hyperthermia | 1.0 | 145600 | RYR1 ^j | AD | All P and LP |
| 5 51 | 1.0 | 601887 | CACNA1S | | |
| Maturity-onset of diabetes of the young | 3.0 | 600496 | HNF1A | AD | All P and LP |
| <i>RPE65</i> -related retinopathy | 3.0 | 204100, | RPE65 | AR | P and LP (2 variants) |
| | | 613794 | | | · · · · · · |
| Wilson disease | 2.0 | 277900 | ATP7B | AR | P and LP (2 variants) |
| Hereditary TTR amyloidosis | 3.1 | 105210 | TTR | AD | All P and LP |

AD, autosomal dominant; AR, autosomal recessive; DCM, dilated cardiomyopathy; HCM, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy; hemi, hemizygous; het, heterozygous; LP, likely pathogenic; LQTS, long QT syndrome; MIM, Mendelian Inheritance of Man; P, pathogenic; pLOF, putative loss-of-function; SD, semidominant; SF, secondary finding; TTR, transthyretin; XL, X-linked.

^aVariants within genes associated with autosomal dominant phenotypes should be classified as P or LP to be reportable. Genes associated with phenotypes inherited in an autosomal recessive fashion would need 2 LP and/or P variants to meet the threshold for reporting even when phase is undetermined, as followup family variant testing can often resolve phase. Finally, P and LP variants within genes associated with X-linked phenotypes that are apparently hemizygous, heterozygous, or homozygous should be reported, as often heterozygous females can have adverse medical events at a reasonable frequency and treatment or amelioration of disease is available. Variants of uncertain significance should not be reported in any gene.

^bAlso associated with DCM as a primary disease.

^cAlso associated with long QT syndrome.

^dAlso associated with HCM.

^eP/LP *LMNA* variants that have any case level phenotype evidence of association with cardiac disease (eg, DCM, arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy, arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy, and/or arrhythmia) should be reported, whereas previously reported P/LP missense variants never associated with cardiac disease should not be reported. Also, for novel pLOF variants that reach LP without case observations, these variants should be reported given the general association of pLOF *LMNA* variants with cardiac disease and the evidence summary should include mention of the spectrum of phenotypes that may be observed with LMNA pLOF variation.

^fWe currently recommend that only frameshift and nonsense variants, and variants known to impact the splicing of *ΠN* exons with high PSI (see references⁶⁻⁸), be evaluated for pathogenicity and returned as secondary findings if classified as P/LP.

^gAlso associated with catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia.

^hGene also applies to the cardiovascular category.

ⁱTranscript for the *HFE* gene is NM_000410.3.

^jRYR1 also causes a neuromuscular phenotype. Only P/LP variants associated with malignant hyperthermia should be reported as a secondary finding.

| Gene/Phenotype | Additional Comments | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Genes related to | cardiovascular phenotypes | | |
| <i>CALM1</i> /long QT Similar prevalence/penetrance rates to | | | |
| syndrome | SCD genes previously on ACMG SF list | | |
| <i>CALM2/</i> long QT | Similar prevalence/penetrance rates to other | | |
| syndrome | SCD genes previously on ACMG SF list | | |
| <i>CALM3/</i> long QT | Similar prevalence/penetrance rates to other | | |
| syndrome | SCD genes previously on ACMG SF list | | |

ACMG, American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics; SCD, sudden cardiac death; SF, secondary findings.

ad hoc expert for feedback about available treatment options. After careful consideration, we determined that there was insufficient evidence that the only available treatment, subcutaneous injections of copper histidinate, is efficacious. In addition, there was concern that this treatment is potentially toxic.¹² We also noted that pathogenic and likely pathogenic variants would likely be identified as a primary (diagnostic) result as opposed to an SF.

Pathogenic variants in *ATP7A* can also result in occipital horn syndrome (OHS) and *ATP7A*-related distal motor neuropathy (DMN). OHS and *ATP7A*-related DMN are childhood or adult onset and hence could be considered SFs, but this gene was only reviewed by the working group in relation to Menkes disease. Although the other conditions were not specifically reviewed, the concern about insufficient evidence for efficacy of copper histidinate would also apply to OHS and *ATP7A*-related DMN.

Conclusions

With the 2021 publication of the SF policy statements for reporting of SFs and the SF v3.0 gene list,^{3,4} the SFWG created a mechanism for separating updates to the policy and principles for SF reporting from updates to the SF gene list. This dual publication approach facilitates more frequent updates to the actual SF gene list. Going forward, we foresee updates to the general policy only as needed, likely every few years. In contrast, updates to the gene list will be targeted to occur on an annual basis and to be published at approximately the same time each year so that all stakeholders can expect an update and be prepared to revise laboratory and reporting processes. We recognize that clinical laboratories must integrate updates into their workflow, and clinicians must familiarize themselves with the genes on the list for the purposes of genetic counseling and informed consent. Our intention is to publish an updated list each year in January.

| Table 3 Genes not selected for SF v3.2 list |
|--|
|--|

| Gene/Phenotype | Category | Additional Comments | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| ATP7A/Menkes disease | Inborn errors of metabolism | Lack of demonstrated effectiveness and possible toxicity of the available treatment | | | |
| SE secondary findings | | | | | |

SF, secondary findings.

The SFWG will continue to review this list of actionable genes, and new nominations, throughout the course of the year. We also wish to remind the community that ACMG members may nominate genes or variants to be added to, or removed from, the list based on an evolving evidence base and/or evolving standards in the practice of medicine. We will also consider nominations submitted through representatives of other professional organizations. Nomination forms can be found on the ACMG website (https://form.jotform.com/203275021199048). We hope that the detailed descriptions of our decision process during the preparation of this update will help the community better understand the types of genes and variants that we consider appropriate for this list to guide nominations going forward.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the ClinGen Actionability Working Group for their evaluations of genes that the authors reviewed.

Conflict of Interest

Funding and support listed here did not support development of this document unless included in the Acknowledgments section. N.S.A.-H. is an equity holder of 23andMe; serves as a scientific advisory board member for Allelica; received personal fees from Genentech, Allelica, and 23andMe; received research funding from Akcea; and was previously employed by Regeneron Pharmaceuticals. W.K.C. is a member of the scientific advisory board of Regeneron Genetics Center. D.T.M. has received an honorarium from Ambry Genetics. D.R.S. is supported by the Intramural Research Program of the Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics of the National Cancer Institute, Rockville, MD, and also performs contract clinical telehealth services for Genome Medical, Inc., in accordance with relevant National Cancer Institute ethics policies. All other authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Additional Information

The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1016/j. gim.2023.100866) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Affiliations

¹Division of Genetics and Genomics, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA; ²Department of Genetics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC;

³Department of Medicine, Institute for Genomic Health, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY; ⁴23andMe, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA; ⁵Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA; ⁶Department of Pediatrics, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY: ⁷Departments of Pediatrics and Medicine, Columbia University, New York, NY; ⁸Division of Cardiology, Department of Physiology, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada; ⁹Department of Pharmacology, Center for Genetic Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL; ¹⁰Ambry Genetics, Aliso Viejo, CA; ¹¹Divisions of Human Genetics and Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH; ¹²Departments of Biomedical Data Science and Medicine, Stanford University, Stanford, CA; ¹³Department of Molecular and Medical Genetics, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR; ¹⁴Clinical Genetics Branch, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, Rockville, MD; ¹⁵Autism & Developmental Medicine Institute, Geisinger, Danville, PA; ¹⁶American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics, Bethesda, MD

References

- Green RC, Berg JS, Grody WW, et al. ACMG recommendations for reporting of incidental findings in clinical exome and genome sequencing. *Genet Med.* 2013;15(7):565-574. http://doi.org/10.1038/gim.2013.73
- Kalia SS, Adelman K, Bale SJ, et al. Recommendations for reporting of secondary findings in clinical exome and genome sequencing, 2016 update (ACMG SF v2.0): a policy statement of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics. *Genet Med.* 2017;19(2):249-255. http://doi.org/10.1038/gim.2016.190
- Miller DT, Lee K, Gordon AS, et al. Recommendations for reporting of secondary findings in clinical exome and genome sequencing, 2021

update: a policy statement of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG). *Genet Med.* 2021;23(8):1391-1398. http://doi.org/10.1038/s41436-021-01171-4

- Miller DT, Lee K, Chung WK, et al. ACMG SF v3.0 list for reporting of secondary findings in clinical exome and genome sequencing: a policy statement of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG). *Genet Med.* 2021;23(8):1381-1390. http://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41436-021-01172-3
- Miller DT, Lee K, Abul-Husn NS, et al. ACMG SF v3.1 list for reporting of secondary findings in clinical exome and genome sequencing: A policy statement of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG). *Genet Med.* 2022;24(7):1407-1414. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.gim.2022.04.006
- Roberts AM, Ware JS, Herman DS, et al. Integrated allelic, transcriptional, and phenomic dissection of the cardiac effects of titin truncations in health and disease. *Sci Transl Med.* 2015;7(270):270ra6. http://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3010134
- Schafer S, de Marvao A, Adami E, et al. Titin-truncating variants affect heart function in disease cohorts and the general population. *Nat Genet*. 2017;49(1):46-53. http://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3719
- Cardiovascular Genetics and Genomics Group. Titin variants in dilated cardiomyopathy. Accessed April 5, 2023. http://cardiodb.org/titin
- Hershberger RE, Givertz MM, Ho CY, et al. Genetic evaluation of cardiomyopathy-a Heart Failure Society of America practice guideline. *J Card Fail.* 2018;24(5):281-302. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cardfail. 2018.03.004
- Al-Khatib SM, Stevenson WG, Ackerman MJ, et al. 2017 AHA/ACC/ HRS guideline for management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. *Circulation.* 2018;138(13):e272-e391. http://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.000000000 000549
- Adler A, Novelli V, Amin AS, et al. An international, multicentered, evidence-based reappraisal of genes reported to cause congenital long QT syndrome. *Circulation*. 2020;141(6):418-428. http://doi.org/10. 1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.119.043132
- Natera-de Benito D, Sola A, Sousa PR, et al. Copper toxicity associated with an ATP7A-related complex phenotype. *Pediatr Neurol.* 2021;119:40-44. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2021.03.005